PATENT COOPERATION TREAT.







INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference		ification of Transmittal of International Search Report CT/ISA/220) as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/	(year) (Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)
PCT/US 00/28424	12/10/2000	12/10/1999
Applicant TERADYNE, INC.		
This International Search Report has bee according to Article 18. A copy is being tr		hing Authority and is transmitted to the applicant
	s of a total of3 sheet a copy of each prior art document cit	
	international search was carried out of less otherwise indicated under this iter	on the basis of the international application in the m.
the international search v Authority (Rule 23.1(b)).	vas carried out on the basis of a transl	ation of the international application furnished to this
was carried out on the basis of th		d in the international application, the international search
filed together with the inte	ernational application in computer read	dable form.
furnished subsequently to	this Authority in written form.	
	this Authority in computer readble for	
	bsequently furnished written sequence as filed has been furnished.	e listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the
the statement that the inf furnished	ormation recorded in computer readab	ole form is identical to the written sequence listing has been
_	and unsearchable (See Box I).	
3. Unity of invention is lac	king (see Box II).	
4. With regard to the title,		
The text is approved as su	ubmitted by the applicant.	•
the text has been established.	shed by this Authority to read as follow	rs:
5. With regard to the abstract,		
the text is approved as si	ubmitted by the applicant.	
the text has been established	shed, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this	s Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, earch report, submit comments to this Authority.
6. The figure of the drawings to be pub	lished with the abstract is Figure No.	1
X as suggested by the appl	icant.	None of the figures.
because the applicant fai	led to suggest a figure.	
because this figure better	characterizes the invention.	•

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

 $\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)} \\ \text{IPC 7} & \text{G01R} \end{array}$

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal

C. DOCUM	C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT				
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.			
X	FR 2 658 933 A (SCHLUMBERGER TECHNOLOGIES INC) 30 August 1991 (1991-08-30) page 27, line 4-15; figure 3 page 12, line 12 -page 13, line 15 page 10, line 9-19 page 8, line 11-24	1-23			
X	R. HUTSON: "Notes, Tutorial 8, Digital Test Applications, " 18 October 1998 (1998-10-18) , IEEE COMPUTER SOCIETY, TEST TECHNOLOGY TECHNICAL COMITTEE , WASHINGTON DC USA XP002157245 figures 2-1	1-23			

Y Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	Patent family members are listed in annex.
 Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed 	 "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. "8" document member of the same patent family
Date of the actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international search report
15 January 2001	05/02/2001
Name and mailing address of the ISA	Authorized officer
European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Huyghe, E

ernational Application No

_	· •	PCT/US 00/28424		
C.(Continua Category °	citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.		
Jategory *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages		Therevant to claim No.	
A	MASCIOLA J A ET AL: "A SOFTWARE ARCHITECTURE FOR MIXED SIGNAL FUNCTIONAL TESTING" PROCEEDINGS OF THE INTERNATIONAL TEST CONFERENCE, US, NEW YORK, IEEE, 2 October 1994 (1994-10-02), pages 580-586, XP000520019 ISBN: 0-7803-2103-0 abstract		7,15	
,				
•				
	·			
•				
	·			
	est and the second seco			

Information on patent family members

ernational Application No

CT/US 00/28424

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
FR 2658933	Α	30-08-1991	DE JP	4100899 A 4218843 A	18-07-1991 10-08-1992